

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,535	10/15/2004	Hui-Fang Chang	100695-1P US	4411	
22466	7590 06/21/2006		EXAMINER		
	ENECA PHARMACEUTION	DESAI,	DESAI, RITA J		
GLOBAL IN 1800 CONC	NTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORD PIKE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DE 19850-5437	1625			
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/5	11,535	CHANG ET AL.				
		Exar	niner	Art Unit	T			
			J. Desai	1625				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHICHEVI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MARKET IS LONGER IS LONGER IN THE MARKET	AILING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. tutory period will apply will, by statute, cause t	F THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M he application to become	NICATION. The a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	d on .						
· <u> </u>		b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.					
3)☐ Since	-							
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 17-22</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) O	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∭ Clain	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· <u> </u>	n(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Clain	n(s) <u>1-4, 17-22</u> are subject to re	striction and/or e	election requireme	ent.				
Application Pa	apers							
9)∐ The s	pecification is objected to by the	Examiner.		4	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applio	cant may not request that any object	tion to the drawin	g(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	cement drawing sheet(s) including		•					
11)∐ The o	ath or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	r. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	owledgment is made of a claim t b) Some * c) None of:	or foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received.					
2.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received in	Application No				
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority do	cuments have be	en received in this National	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	•						
* See th	e attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the	certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment(s)								
_	ferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Dra	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	•	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date	O-152)			
3) Information Paper No(s)	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I /Mail Date	10/88/08)	6) Other: _	•	U-1 <i>UL)</i>			

Application/Control Number: 10/511,535

Art Unit: 1625

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 18 and 19, drawn to compounds of formula I as given in claim 1, classified in class 546, subclass 18.
- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to methods of treating using the compounds of formula I, classified in class 514 and subclass 278.
- III. Claims 21 and 22, drawn to compounds of formula IV, classified in class 546 and various subclasses.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case group II is a method of using the compounds of group I to treat various diseases such as pain, attention deficit, ulcerative colitis and such. And these diseases can be treated by other drugs available, such as aspirin can be used to treat pain.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions I and III are drawn to compounds of a different formulae, I and IV.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,535

Art Unit: 1625

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Thus the Inventions are Independent and Distinct and the search is burdensome to the PTO.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Mitchell on 6/12/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Rejoinder:-

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicants elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to the final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of a rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. thus to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all the criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101,

Art Unit: 1625

102, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product or have all the limitations of the product claim.

Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, flex time..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas McKenzie can be reached on 571-272-0670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,535 Page 6

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

RDesa 6/16/06

R.D. June 16, 2006